

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/332,659	ZENHAUSERN, FREDERIC	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BJ Forman	1634	

All Participants:

(1) BJ Forman.

(2) Patrick Higgins.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 June 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner suggested amendments to the claims to more clearly define the invention over the prior art. The language for amendments was agreed upon. The amendments will be made by the examiner and the amended claims will be allowed.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


BJ FORMAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)